



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/879,578	06/12/2001	Sung-Sun Park	678-650 (P9673) 4794		
7590 12/17/2004		EXAMINER			
Paul J. Farrell, Esq.			NGUYEN, QUYNH H		
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 Earle Ovington Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Uniondale, NY			2642		
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/879,578	PARK, SUNG-SUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quynh H Nguyen	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 June 2001</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : : = :	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draisperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiyosawa et al. (U.S. Patent 6,187,514) in view of Preker (U.S. Patent 5,598,469).

Regarding claims 1 and 3, Kiyosawa et al. teach a key film (Fig. 1, substrate 40) having a plurality of upper protrusions in contact with bottom surfaces of the key tops, a plurality of lower protrusions as contact points spaced (Fig. 1 and col. 5, line 61 through col. 6, line 6) from metal domes (Fig. 1, dome sections 21); and a key frame inserted into the recesses of the key film (Fig. 1, substrate 40) and having at least one spacer (col. 3, lines 62-8 and col. 8, lines 14-26). However, Kiyosawa et al. do not teach a plurality of key tops arranged in tight contact in a matrix of rows and columns.

Preker teaches a plurality of key tops (all buttons) extend up to point on the operation side of the housing adjacent to a display 13 (col. 4, lines 22-24). Each button 6 is arranged in tight contact of rows (col. 3, lines 52-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the arrangement of the key tops in tight contact, as taught by Preker, in Kiyosawa's system in order to eliminate the spaces between the

buttons. Thus, mutual interference between the operations of buttons can be avoided, and the outer dimensions of the instrument housing are to be miniaturized.

Regarding claim 2, Kiyosawa et al. teach the key frame is fabricated by die casting (col. 2, lines 61-63).

3. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiyosawa et al. (U.S. Patent 6,187,514) in view of Preker (U.S. Patent 5,598,469) and further in view of Ala-Lehtimaki et al. (U.S. Patent 5,877,709).

Claims 4-5 and 7 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. However, Kiyosawa et al. do not teach an upper casing frame having an inner surface treated with a conductive material and an engaging boss extended from a predetermined portion of the bottom surface of the upper casing frame to be engaged with a main board by a screw, the surface of the engaging boss being treated with a conductive material to contact the key frame.

Aka-Lehtimaki et al. teach the plate (Fig. 3, 23) treated with conductive material (conductive plate) (col. 3, lines 30-34); an engagement boss (Fig. 4, embossment 25) support the metal plate to be engaged with a main board by a dome-shaped 24, the surface of the engaging boss being treated with a conductive material (col. 3, line 48 through col. 4, line 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the mounting structure that treated with conductive material, as taught by Aka-Lehtimaki, in Kiyosawa's system in order to prevent

Application/Control Number: 09/879,578

Art Unit: 2642

Electrostatic Discharge and other electromagnetic interference the may cause

interference in mobile phones. For example, the electrostatic discharges have been

shown when pressing a key through the keyboard.

Regarding claim 6, Aka-Lehtimaki et al. teach the key tops are formed of a

conductive material (col. 1, lines 36-42).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-

5451. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to

5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

4700.

qhn

Quynh H. Nguyen December 9, 2004 Memad Mass

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700